

# STATS 370 Problem Set 4 Solutions

*Credit to Paulo Orenstein for providing these solutions.*

## 1 Problem 1

We shall prove all four items via contradiction; that is, we assume the proposition is false and construct a contradiction by exhibiting a linear combination of the form  $W = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(X_i - P(X_i))$  such that  $\sup W < 0$  or  $\inf W > 0$ . Note the inequalities below all hold almost surely.

(a) Say  $X \leq 0$  and  $P(X) > 0$ . Then, taking  $c > 0$ , we see that  $Y = c(X - P(X)) < 0$ , no matter the outcome of  $X$ . This implies  $\sup Y < 0$ , and so the proposition holds.

(b) Assume  $Y = cX$  and  $P(Y) \neq cP(X)$ . Without loss, take  $P(Y) < cP(X)$ , so we see that  $Z = 1 \cdot (Y - P(Y)) - c(X - P(X)) = cP(X) - P(Y) > 0$  and thus  $\inf Z > 0$ . The case where  $P(Y) > cP(X)$  gives  $\sup Z < 0$ . Hence, the proposition is true.

(c) Assume  $X = c$  and  $P(X) \neq c$ , say  $P(X) > c$ . Then  $Y = (X - P(X)) < 0$  implies  $\sup Y < 0$ . If we assume  $P(X) < c$  instead, we get  $Y = (X - P(X)) > 0$ , so  $\inf Y < 0$ . Thus, we see the proposition holds.

(d) Take  $X, Y, X + Y$  to be bounded quantities and assume  $P(X + Y) \neq P(X) + P(Y)$ , say  $P(X + Y) < P(X) + P(Y)$ . Then,  $Z = (X + Y - P(X + Y)) - (X - P(X)) - (Y - P(Y)) = P(X) + P(Y) - P(X + Y) > 0$ , so  $\inf Z > 0$ . It is easy to see that  $P(X + Y) > P(X) + P(Y)$  leads to the case  $\sup Z < 0$ . This shows the proposition is true.

## 2 Problem 2

Assuming we are interested in minimizing the expected loss, since  $E$  is random, for the first loss we would like to solve  $\min_{\pi} \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{I}_E - \pi)^2]$ . By first-order considerations, we arrive at the solution

$$\pi^* = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{I}_E] = p,$$

so the subject is indeed impelled to declare his truthful evaluation of the probability of  $E$ , and therefore the rule is proper.

On the other hand, with the second loss we minimize  $\min_{\pi} \mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{I}_E - \pi|]$ , and it's easy to check the solution  $\pi^*$  is such that  $P(X \leq \pi^*) \geq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $P(X \geq \pi^*) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , that is, we get

$$\pi^* = \text{med}(\mathbb{I}_E) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } P(E) > \frac{1}{2} \\ [0, 1], & \text{if } P(E) = \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & \text{if } P(E) < \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we see from the solution above that the rule is not proper in general.

## 3 Problem 3

Here is the table with the respective values of  $p$  each one finds fair. Note there is a lot of variation due to their ideological positions, their sources of information, and how risk-averse they are.

Friend	$p$
A	.65
B	.7
C	.9
D	.6
E	.5
F	.4
G	.6
H	.85
I	.7
J	.4

As a casino, it would be better to play against those that seem very sure that Hillary will win – that is, those that are willing to pay high values of  $p$ . In this sense, Friend C might be a good person to play against as the casino.